## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PRESTON MATHIS	) CASE NO. 1:09CV1923
Plaintiff,	) ) JUDGE DONALD C. NUGENT
v.	)
CUYAHOGA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT	) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION ) AND ORDER
Defendant.	)

On August 14, 2009, plaintiff *pro se* Preston Mathis, brought this action under the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against defendant Cuyahoga County Sheriff's Department. He alleges that this defendant was deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs.

A district court is expressly authorized to dismiss any civil action filed by a prisoner seeking relief from a governmental entity, as soon as possible after docketing, if the court concludes that the plaintiff seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §1915A; Siller v. Dean, 2000 WL 145167 \*2 (6th Cir. Feb. 1, 2000); see Hagans v. Lavine, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974)(citing numerous Supreme Court cases for the proposition that attenuated or unsubstantial claims divest the district court of jurisdiction); In re Bendectin Litigation, 857 F.2d 290, 300 (6th Cir.1988)(recognizing that federal question jurisdiction is divested by unsubstantial claims).

Under Ohio law, a sheriff's department is not a legal entity subject to suit. Snyder v. Belmont County Sheriff's Depart., 2009 WL 467022 \* 4 (S.D. Ohio Feb. 23, 2009)(citing Petty v. County of Franklin, 478 F.3d 341, 347 (6th Cir. 2007)). See Barrett v. Wallace, 107 F. Supp.2nd 949, 954

## Case: 1:09-cv-01923-DCN Doc #: 3 Filed: 10/08/09 2 of 2. PageID #: 15

(S.D. Ohio 2000)(sheriff's department not a legal entity subject to suit).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed. The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date:

JUDGE DONALD C. NUGENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE